

**FFT, wave numbers and IDL:** IDL's `FFT()` function is pretty straight-forward to use, apart from the second argument which may be a bit confusing. E.g. `FFT(f)` and `FFT(f,-1)` return the forward transform of  $f$ , while `FFT(f,1)` will return the backward transform (which applies a normalization factor and is really the inverse of the forward transform). The  $\pm 1$  in the second argument represent the sign of the exponent  $\pm 2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ .

The trivial but somewhat tedious part to be still done by the user is to construct the appropriate grid of  $\mathbf{k}$  values. Let  $L_x \equiv N_x \delta x$  be the extent of the  $x$  interval (i.e. the period length in  $x$ ). Then  $k$  changes in steps of  $\delta k = 2\pi/L_x$ . IDL treats  $k$  (in some sense) as starting at  $k_{\min} = 0$  and increasing monotonically until  $k_{\max} = 2\pi/\delta x$ . However,  $k$  and  $k - 2\pi/\delta x$  are equivalent and normally we want  $k$  to go from  $-k_{N_y}$  to  $k_{N_y} = \pi/\delta x$ . This can be achieved by

```
dk = 2*!pi/Lx
k1 = (findgen(Nx)-Nx/2)*dk
k = shift(k1, -Nx/2)
```

When plotting spectral information over  $k$ ,

```
spect = abs(fft(f,-1))
plot, k, spect
```

the plot will be OK, apart from a gap around  $k = 0$  and a spurious line connecting the leftmost and the rightmost point. This is because  $k$  is piecewise linear in two intervals and is discontinuous at the interface (`plot, k` to see this).

This (`k` and `spect` in the example) is the representation that is most useful for everything but plotting spectra (or anything else in Fourier space). E.g. filtering is easily done using  $k$ , and it often helps that we know that  $k = 0$  is at position `k[0]`.

For plotting spectra, we use  $k_1$ , which is a continuous version of  $k$ . We then *must* shift the spectral information:

```
spect1 = shift(spect,Nx/2)
plot, k1, spect1
```

**Note:** The  $k$  and  $k_1$  used here are *physical* wave numbers, and are thus not identical to the ones (unfortunately) introduced in the lecture. Using physical wave numbers, the Laplace equation  $\Delta f = g$  becomes

$$-k^2 \tilde{f} = \tilde{g}$$

in Fourier space – without the factor  $4\pi^2$ .

**Question 1** *Fourier transform*

Use IDL's `fft()` function to calculate the (discrete) Fourier transforms of the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x) &= 1, \\ f_1(x) &= \sin 2\pi x, \\ f_2(x) &= \cos 2\pi x, \\ f_3(x) &= \frac{e^{-(x-x_0)^2/(2w^2)}}{\sqrt{2\pi w^2}}, \quad x_0 = \frac{2}{3}, w = 0.03, \\ f_4(x) &= \cos 2\pi x, \end{aligned}$$

on the interval  $0 \leq x < 1$ .

- Plot modulus  $|\tilde{f}_n(k)|$ , real part  $\Re \tilde{f}_n(k)$ , imaginary part  $\Im \tilde{f}_n(k)$  and phase  $\arg \tilde{f}_n(k)$ .
- Make sure that the normalization and range of  $k$  is correct. For one of the functions (not  $f_0 \dots$ ), change the interval length and verify that  $k$  is still correct.
- Apply a low-pass filter to  $f_4$  to screen out any wave number  $k > 50$ , transform back, plot  $f_4(x)$  and overplot the filtered  $f_4$ .

**Question 2** *Spectral method in 1-d*

Use the spectral method to solve the one-dimensional stationary heat conduction equation

$$\frac{d^2 T}{dx^2} = -q(x)$$

with

$$q(x) = \frac{e^{-(x-x_0)^2/(2w^2)}}{\sqrt{2\pi w^2}}$$

on the interval  $0 \leq x < 1$ . Assume periodic boundary conditions  $T(x) = T(1)$ .

- Use the forward transform `fft(f, -1)` on the right hand side  $-q$ .
- Construct an array `k_2` that is equal to  $1/k^2$  for  $|k| \neq 0$  and is 0 for  $k = 0$ .
- Multiply  $-\tilde{q}$  by `k_2` and transform back using `fft(f, 1)`.
- Plot the solution  $T(x)$ . Does this match your expectations?
- Plot the second derivative of  $T(x)$ ,

```
plot, x, wdderiv2(x, Temp)
```

and compare to  $-q(x)$ . Can you explain?

**Question 3** *Tridiagonal solver*

- (a) Use CVS to check out my tridiagonal solver in F90 from `wdoble/f90/lib`, and the corresponding test program and Makefile from `wdoble/f90/test`.

Hint: You can modify files in these directories and check the modifications in, but I will not like that (unless you really fixed a bug or added something really clever)! It is thus best if you check out the files into a place where you will not edit or compile, and copy them over to your playground.

- (b) Try to understand the Makefile, compile and run the test. Try to understand the test.
- (c) Use the solver to solve the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\mathcal{C}/2 & 1+\mathcal{C} & -\mathcal{C}/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{C}/2 & 1+\mathcal{C} & -\mathcal{C}/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{C}/2 & 1+\mathcal{C} & -\mathcal{C}/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{C}/2 & 1+\mathcal{C} & -\mathcal{C}/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\mathcal{C} = 0.3$

- (d) Repeat this in IDL, using `' wdoble/idl/lib/diverse/solve_tridiag.pro'`.