## Exercises

## Deadline: Friday 25 November 2005

## Question 1 Spectral method in 3-d

Use the spectral method to solve the Laplace equation

$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G \varrho$$

in three dimensions for a point mass M, i.e. a density  $\rho$  that is  $M/(8 \,\delta x \,\delta y \,\delta z)$  for the 8 grid points closest to the centre  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , and  $\rho = 0$  for all other points. Assume periodic boundary conditions for the gravitational potential in all directions. Use 128 grid points in each direction and use units where GM = 1 (G being Newton's gravity constant).

- (a) Construct a three-dimensional grid and calculate  $\rho$  on that grid.
- (b) Apply the three-dimensional forward Fourier transform fft(f,-1) to the right hand side 4πGρ (if f is a three-dimensional array, fft(f) will automatically Fourier-transform in all three directions).
- (c) Construct the wave number grids  $k_x$ ,  $k_y$ ,  $k_z$  and combine them into one wave vector **k**.
- (d) Construct an array k\_2 that is equal to  $1/|\mathbf{k}|^2$  for  $|k| \neq 0$  and is 0 for k = 0, etc.
- (e) Plot the potential  $\Phi(r)$  over spherical radius r along a few lines: coordinate, face diagonal, space diagonal, and compare to Newton's potential for an isolated point mass. Explain the difference.
- (f) Can you also plot the modulus of the gravitational acceleration  $\mathbf{g} = -\nabla \Phi$ ? Hint: what becomes of the gradient in Fourier space?

## Question 2 Heat conduction

Solve the time-dependent heat conduction equation

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \chi \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + q(x, y)$$

in one (spatial) dimension on the interval  $0 \le x \le 1$  Use

$$q(x,t) = \sin(\omega t) \frac{e^{-(x-x_0)^2/(2w^2)}}{\sqrt{2\pi w^2}}$$

with  $\chi = 1$ ,  $\omega = \pi$ ,  $x_0 = 2/3$ , w = 0.03, the initial condition

$$T(x,0)=0,$$

and the boundary conditions

$$T(0,t) = 0$$
,  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(1,t) = 0$ .

Use  $N_x = 128$  points.

- (a) Use the explicit scheme. Choose an appropriate time step  $\delta t$  (such that the results do not change visibly when you half  $\delta t$ ) and overplot profiles  $T(x, t_i)$  for some times  $t_i \in \{0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2, 5/2, 3\}$
- (b) What happens if you use a large time step? What is the critical time step, i.e. the step  $\delta t_*$  such that the scheme is stable for  $\delta t < \delta t_*$  and unstable for  $\delta t > \delta t_*$ ?
- (c) Use the fully implicit scheme. What happens if you use a large time step (say 10 or 30 times  $\delta t_*$  from above)? Compare to the results plotted in (a).
- (d) Use the Crank-Nicholson scheme. Find an appropriate time step in the same sense as for the explicit scheme and compare the two values.