# Giant Flare of SGR 1806-20 from a Relativistic Jet

# Ryo Yamazaki (Osaka University, Japan)

With K. Ioka, F. Takahara, and N. Shibazaki

# Soft gamma repeaters (SGRs) are...

- Sources of short (~0.1s),
- repeating bursts of soft γ-rays
  (<100 keV).</li>
  4 (or 5?) are known (3 in our Galaxy, 1 in the LMC).
  The SGRs are quiescent soft X-ray sources (2-10 keV).
  They have rotation periods in the 5-8 s range.
  SGRs are most likely highly magnetized neutron stars

(*magnetars*), that have a magnetic field of ~10<sup>15</sup> G. SGRs emit hard *giant flares*, at a rate of once per ~30 yrs.

# Giant flare from SGR 1806-20 (2004, Dec. 27)



#### Terasawa et al. 2005: GEOTAIL observation





# Spectrum of the initial spike

#### Highly uncertain ...



# Initial spike of 1979 March 5 event

#### Likely nonthermal.



# Radio afterglow of 2004 Dec. 27 event



Minimum energy required for observed radio luminosity:

 $E_{\rm min} = 4 \times 10^{43} \ d_{15}^{17/7} [(1+\kappa)F_{100}]^{4/7} f^{3/7} \theta_{50}^{9/7} \ {\rm ergs.}$ 

# Initial outflow was likely ultra-relativistic...

Because luminosity is hyper-Eddington.

 $L_{obs}/L_{Edd}$  10<sup>10</sup>

Especially, when the spectrum is non-thermal, "compactness problem" constraints on the initial Lorentz factor:  $\Gamma_0 > 30$ .

#### Nakar et al. 2005

Pure radiation fireball is unlikely (from the radio observation).



# **Evidence for jetted emission ?**

Shock radiates between R and R+ $\Delta$ R.



#### Yamazaki et al., 2005





# Jet emission v.s. Isotropic emission

#### E: Total gamma-ray

 $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \text{energy} \\ \text{Isotropic} : E \end{array} & 10^{47} \, \text{ergs} \, (\text{Terasawa et al. 2005}) \\ \\ \text{Jet} & : E \end{array} & 10^{44} \, (\Delta \theta \ / \ 0.1)^2 \, \text{ergs} \end{array}$ 

# c.f. Magnetic energy $E_{mag} = (B^2/8\pi) (4\pi R^3/3) = 10^{47} \text{ ergs}$ for B=10<sup>15</sup> G, R=10 km

 $\Rightarrow$  Energetics is rather relaxed for jetted emission case.

# Jet emission v.s. Isotropic emission (2)



I want to see a giant flare again from SGR 1806-20 during my life...

# Wide spread of Isotropic energy E<sub>iso</sub>



# Radio afterglow light curve

may be fitted by the initially relativistic jet model.



# Proper motion of the radio image

may support the jetted emission?

Jet may be one-sided (analogue to the solar flare)



# "Statistical" problem ....

Pulsating tail is nearly isotropic.

When the initial spike is a jetted emission, many orphan pulsating tail should be detected by e.g., BATSE.

But ever detected pulsating tails always associate with the initial spike.



Averaged pulse profile of pulsating tail



# Weakly collimated pulsating tail

 $\Delta \theta_{tail}$  1 rad is possible in magneter model. (but collimation degree highly depends on B-field configuration.)



Thompson & Duncan (1995)

Thompson & Duncan (2001)

# **Emissions from structured jets ?**





### Summary

Initial outflow is (likely) relativistic (e.g.  $_0>30$ ).

If so, the light curve of the initial spike of the giant flare of SGR 1806-20 indicate the collimated outflow.

Radio proper motion may support jetted emission?

"Statistical problem" is not serious if less-energetic envelope emission exists.

Prediction: SGR 1806-20 will cause again within this century.