3-D GRMHD simulations of Disk-Jet Coupling and Associated Variabilities and Emission



Ken Nishikawa

National Space Science & Technology Center/

University of Alabama in Huntsville

(NSSTC/UA/UAH)

ULTRA-RELATIVIATIC JETS IN ASTROPHYSICS, Observations, Theory, Simulations Banff, Canada, 11 - 15 July 2005

Collaborators

- Y. Mizuno (NRC/NSSTC)
- P. Hardee (Univ. of Alabama, Tuscaloosa)
- G. Richardson (UAH)
- S. Koide (Toyama University)
- K. Shibata (Kyoto University)
- T. Kudoh (NAO, Japan)
- S. Fuerst (MSSL/UCL)
- K. Wu (MSSL/UCL)
- G.J. Fishman (NSSTC/MSFC)

Contents

- Scientific objectives
- Initial conditions
- Recent 3-D GRMHD simulations of jet formation
- Comparisons with simulations using different initial magnetic fields
- Black body radiation from Kerr black hole using relativistic ray-tracing
- Future plans

Scientific objectives

- How do accretion disks near black holes evolve under the influence of accretion-ejection instabilities?
- How do these instabilities affect states of black hole vicinity and associated jet formation?
- How do our full 3-D GRMHD simulations with a Kerr black hole support Blandford-Znajek model?
- What is the main mechanism of relativistic jet formation?
- How is the relativistic jet collimated in the process of its formation?
- How do relativistic jets propagate with perturbations?

Jets from binary stars BH or NS

(Schematic figure)



Mass donor star Accretion disk

Jets

Schematic mechanism for accelerating and collimating jets





Meier et al. Science, 2001

X-ray binary system

States of BHCs



- How does the mass accretion rate determine the state?
- How does the angular momentum, j affect the state?
- How is instability involved with the state transition?

3. Simulation models

• General relativistic MHD codes axisymmetric (2-D) and full 3-D models Schwarzschild and Kerr black holes with simplified Total Variation Diminishing (TVD) method (Davis 1984) (Lax-Wendroff's method with additonal diffusion term)

Tortoise Coordinates

 $d/dr_* \equiv (r - r_{\rm S})d/dr \qquad r_* = \ln(r - r_{\rm S})$

Schwarzschild radius: $r_{\rm S} \equiv 2GM_{\rm BH}/c^2$

Time Constant: $\tau_{\rm S} \equiv r_{\rm S}/c$

Boundary conditions at $r = 1.1 r_s$, 20 r_s : radiating

CFL numerical stability condition is severe at $r = 1.5 r_s$

Polytropic equation of state: $p = \rho^{\Gamma}$

 $\Gamma = 5/3$ and H = 1.3

Initial conditions

•Free-falling corona (these simulations) accretion disk

relativistic Keplerian velocity $v_{\theta} = v_{K} \equiv c/[2(r/r_{s}-1)]^{1/2}$

$$\rho = \rho_{\rm ffc} + \rho_{\rm dis} \qquad r_{\rm D} \equiv 3 r_{\rm S}$$

$$\rho_{\rm dis} = \lceil 1 \not \mid 0 \rho_{\rm ffc} \quad \text{if } r > r_{\rm D} \text{ and } |\cot\theta| < \delta \qquad (\delta = 0.125)$$

$$\mid 0 \qquad \text{if } r \le r_{\rm D} \text{ or } |\cot\theta| \ge \delta \quad \delta: \text{ thickness of disk}$$

$$(v_{\rm r}, v_{\theta}, v_{\phi}) = \lceil \langle 0, 0, v_{\rm K} \rangle \qquad \text{if } r > r_{\rm D} \text{ and } |\cot\theta| < \delta$$

$$\mid (-v_{\rm ffc}, 0, 0) \qquad \text{if } r \le r_{\rm D} \text{ or } |\cot\theta| \ge \delta$$

3-D simulation

mass density $(\log_{10} \rho)$

LOG DEN (VEL) T= 0.0



Pressure (log₁₀ p)



Plasma pressure to magnetic pressure



Kerr BH with an initial weak poloidal magnetic fields



14/39

Twisted magnetic fields by accretion disk



Nishikawa et al (2005)

Sample field lines



(Hirose at al. 2004)

Snapshots at z = 0, $t = 60 \tau_s$



Snapshots at $z = 5.6 r_s$ $t = 60\tau_s$





Snapshots at $x = 4.48r_s$



Schematic picture of two-layer shell structure of relativistic jet



X-ray binary system

States of BHCs



- How does the mass accretion rate determine the state?
- How does the angular momentum, j affect the state?
- How is instability involved with the state transition?

A schematic model for the jet-disc coupling in black hole binaries



(Fender, Belloni, & Gallo 2004)

Summary

- Comparing the axisymmetric (2-D) simulations 3-D simulation show slower growth of jet formation
- The additional freedom in the azimuthal direction without the mirror symmetry at the equatorial plane slows down the pile-up due to shocks near the black hole
- The longer simulation shows the fading jet and switching to the wind
- In order to see effects of instabilities we need to seed initial perturbations with accretion disks (in progress)

Emission Lines from Tori



Comparison of emission line between accretion torus (solid) and disk (dotted) inclined at 85°.

(Fuerst & Wu 2004, A&A, 424, 733)

- Inner most region is obscured weakening the red and blue wings.
- A broad emission line centered at 6.4keV results.
- This may explain why not many sources with asymmetric lines like MCG-6-30-15 are observed.



Partially transparent torus around a Kerr Black Hole.

Relativistic radiative transfer

General Relativistic Effects

- Light Bending Gravitational Lensing
- Multiple Images
- Gravitational Redshift
- Frame Dragging

Emission, absorption & scattering



2-D, a = 0.95, $B = 0.01 (\rho c^2)^{-2}$



Future Plans for jet formation study

- Investigation of jet generation from Kerr black holes using full
 3-D GRMHD simulations with better resolutions and for a long time with a new code
- In order to investigate different states of black holes, we will examine how the accretion disk dynamics and associated jet formation depend on initial conditions including magnetic field geometries and accreting stream from mass donor stars
- Improve 3-D displays in order to understand physics involved in simulations using IDL, Open DX and AVS Express
- Implement a better boundary condition at the horizon
- Investigate dynamics of the inner accretion disk near black holes in comparison with observations by Chandra, BATSE, XMM, INTEGRAL, ASTRO E2, GLAST, and Constellation-X
- Investigate the dynamics of collapsars as an energy source for Gamma-ray bursts

Future work

- Micro physics
 - the essential micro physics physical EOS, treatment of neutrinos
 - It is necessary to include these physics to make reliable quantitative predictions
- Magnetic field configuration
 - The assumption of uniform magnetic field (Wald solution) may be unrealistic

Dipole-like magnetic field, radial magnetic field etc.

Basic equations (Baumgarte & Shapiro, 2003, ApJ, 585, 921, Duez et al. 2005)

ADM form $ds^2 = -\alpha^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} (dx^i + \beta^i dt) (dx^j + \beta^j dt)$

Einstein eq

$$R - K_{ij}K^{ij} + K^{2} = 16\pi T_{\mu\nu}n^{\mu}n^{\nu}$$

$$D_{i}K_{j}^{i} - D_{j}K = -8\pi T_{\mu\nu}n^{\mu}\gamma_{j}^{\nu}$$

$$Constraints$$

$$K_{ij} = \alpha \left(R_{ij} + KK_{ij} - 2K_{il}K_{j}^{l}\right) - D_{i}D_{j}\alpha$$

$$+ K_{il}D_{j}\beta^{l} + K_{jl}D_{i}\beta^{l} + \beta^{l}D_{l}K_{ij}$$

$$-8\pi\alpha T_{\mu\nu}\left[\gamma_{i}^{\mu}\gamma_{j}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{\mu\nu} - n^{\mu}n^{\nu})\gamma_{ij}\right]$$

$$\dot{\gamma}_{ij} = -2\alpha K_{ij} + D_{i}\beta_{j} + D_{j}\beta_{i}$$

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + n_{\mu}n_{\nu}$$

Stress-energy tensor

 $\boldsymbol{T}^{\mu\nu} = pg^{\mu\nu} + (e_{in} + p)\boldsymbol{U}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{U}^{\nu} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\sigma}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{F}^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{F}^{\lambda\kappa} \boldsymbol{F}_{\lambda\kappa} / 4$

Conservation of baryon number

energy equation

energy-momentum conservation equation

induction equation (fozen-in condition)

$$\partial_t \rho_* + \partial_j (\rho_* v^j) = 0 , \qquad (41)$$

$$\partial_t \tilde{\tau} + \partial_i (\alpha^2 \sqrt{\gamma} T^{0i} - \rho_* v^i) = s , \qquad (42)$$

$$\partial_t \tilde{S}_i + \partial_j (\alpha \sqrt{\gamma} T^j{}_i) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \sqrt{\gamma} T^{\alpha \beta} g_{\alpha \beta, i} , \qquad (43)$$

$$\partial_t \tilde{B}^i + \partial_j (v^j \tilde{B}^i - v^i \tilde{B}^j) = 0 .$$
 (44)

Flowfield Dependent Variation Method (FDV) in Finite Element/39 Form for Shock Capturing in Relativistic Environments Richardson & Chung ApJS 139, 539



Flowfield Dependent Variation Method:

- Inherent diffusion terms reduce artificial viscosity effects
- Physical parameters are used to adjust the solution method

(2-D GRHydro simulations)

Finite Element Method:

- Allow unstructured grids and complex geometries
- Integral form improves application of flux boundary conditions over FDM

Relativistic Reflective Shock



will be extended to GRMHD coupled with Einstein equations

Fundamental equations

- $\nabla_{\gamma}(\rho U^{\gamma}) = 0$ (Conservation of mass)
- $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ (Conservation of momentum)
- $\partial_{\mu}F_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_{\alpha}F_{\beta\mu} + \partial_{\beta}F_{\mu\alpha} = 0$ (Conservation of energy for single component conductive fluid)
- $\nabla_{\alpha} F^{\alpha\beta} = -J^{\beta}$ (Maxwell's equations)
 - $F_{\alpha\beta}$ (electromagnetic field-strength tensor) $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha}$
 - $F_{\alpha\beta}U^{\mu} = 0$ (Frozen-in condition)

- U^{γ} : velocity 4-vector
- J': current 4-vector
- ρ : proper mass density
- *p* : proper pressure

 $e_{\rm in} = \rho c^2 + p/(\Gamma - 1)$: energy density

- Γ : specific-heat ratio (5/3)
- ∇_{γ} : covariant derivative

$$\boldsymbol{T}^{\mu\nu} = pg^{\mu\nu} + (e_{\mu} + p)\boldsymbol{U}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{U}^{\nu} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\sigma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{F}^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{F}^{\lambda\kappa}\boldsymbol{F}_{\lambda\kappa}/4:$$

general relativistic energy momentum tensor

 A^{μ} : potential 4-vector

3+1 Formalism of General Relativistic MHD Equations $\partial D/\partial t = -\nabla (Dv)$

- $\partial \mathbf{P}/\partial t = -\nabla \left[p\mathbf{I} + \gamma^2 (e+p)\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}/c^2 \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B} \mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}/c^2 + 0.5^* (B^2 + E^2/c^2)\mathbf{I} \right]$
- $\partial \varepsilon / \partial t = -\nabla \left[\left\{ \gamma^2(e+p) D^2 c^2 \right\} v + E \times B \right]$

 $\partial \mathbf{B} / \partial t = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$

 $(1/c^{2}) \partial E/\partial t + J = -\nabla \times B \qquad \text{(replacement current)}$ $(1/c^{2}) \nabla E = \rho_{c} \qquad \nabla B = 0 \qquad \text{(constraint)}$ $E = -v \times B \qquad \text{(Frozen-in condition)}$

$$\gamma \equiv [1 - (v/c)^2]^{-1/2}, D = \gamma \rho, P = \gamma^2 (e+p)v/c^2 + E \times B/c^2$$
$$\varepsilon = \gamma^2 (e+p) - p - Dc^2 + 0.5 * (B^2 + E^2/c^2)$$

Metric and Coordinates

Schwarzschild metric: $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$

Boyer-Lindquist set (*ct*, r, θ, φ)

Off-diagonal elements of metric are zero

$$g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \ (\mu \neq \nu)$$

$$g_{00} = -h_{0^{2},} \ g_{11} = h_{1^{2},} \ g_{22} = h_{2^{2},} \ g_{33} = h_{3^{2}}$$

$$h_{0} = \alpha, \ h_{1} = 1/\alpha, \ h_{2} = r, \ h_{3} = r \cos \theta$$

$$\alpha \equiv (1 - r_{s}/r)^{1/2} \ \text{(lapse function)}$$

2-D axisymmetric simulation



Radial Profiles, equatorial plane (z = 0), t=52 τ_s



(Koide et al. 1999)

Radial profiles, ($z = 5.6 r_s$), t=52 τ_s



Z-profiles, ($R = 4.5 r_s$), t=52 τ_s



39/39

2-D GRMHD simulations by Mizuno et al. 2004 (Kerr BH)





Density in 2-D GRMHS simulations (Kerr BH)

magnetic field lines line Jet-like ejection $\tau_s = r_s/c$ near the central BH co-rotating case \bullet $time/\tau_s = 60.0$ $time/\tau_s = 110.$ $time/\tau_s = 136.$ (b) $log_{10}(\rho)$ (a) (c) >= 1.0 >= 1.0 = 1.0 20 20 20 0 15 15 15 z/1° z/rs s/10 -1 -2 5 5 20 $\frac{10}{x/r_s}$ $\frac{10}{x/r_s}$ 5 $\frac{10}{x/r_s}$ 15 0 5 15 20 5 15 20 0 0 • counter-rotating case Disk-like structure (e) (f) (d) $\geq = 1.0$ = 1.0 = 1.0 20 20 20 15 15 15 s/10 s/10 z/rs 5 5 5 5 15 15 15 20 0 10 20 0 5 20 0 5 10 10 x/r_s x/r_s x/r_{s}

41/39

density

color

Summary Kerr BH case

- The formation mechanism of the jet in the rotating BH case is the same as that of the non-rotating BH case (mainly by the magnetic field)
- The jet velocity in the co-rotating case is comparable to that of the non-rotating case, $v_{jet} = 0.3c$
- In the co-rotating case, the kinetic energy flux is comparable to the Poynting flux
- As the rotation parameter of BH increases, the poloidal velocity of the jet and magnetic twist increase gradually and toroidal velocity of the jet decreases. Because the magnetic field is twisted strongly by the frame dragging effect, it can store much magnetic energy and converts to kinetic energy of the jet directly

Motivations

- Jet formation from black holes
- Accretion disk dynamics including azimuthal instabilities such as magnetorotational instability (MRI) and accretion-ejection instabilities (AEI) with various initial and magnetic field geometries
- Variabilities of relativistic jets due to these instabilities in the accretion disk and their effects on jet propagations
- Modeling high/soft and low/hard states with AGNs related to mass accretion rates and angular momentum of black holes.
- Examination of Blandford-Znajek model with a Kerr black hole as a possible energy source for Gammaray Bursts?

Theoretical models of jet formation Lovelace (1976), Blandford (1976) Blandford & Znajek (1997): Kerr black hole **Blandford and Payne (1982): Magneto-centrifugal force-driven jet** Begeleman, Blandford, & Rees (1984): "Theory of extragalactic radio sources" Uchida & Shibata (1985): Magnetically driven Koide, Shibata & Kudoh (1998): (2-D GRMHD) "Gas pressure" & Magnetically driven

44/39

Relativistic radiative transfer

2-D, a = 0.95, B = 0.03 (pc²)⁻²

Accretion Disk



Emission, absorption & scattering

45/39

Jet by MRI



(De Villiers, Hawley, & Krolik, 2003c)

MRI simulations

a/M = 0.9



(De Villiers, Hawley, & Krolik, 2003c)