The Early Afterglow as a Diagnostic Tool of GRBs' outflow

Ehud Nakar The California Institute of Technology

URJA, Banff, 14 July, 2005

The Internal-External Fireball Model

Inner` Relativistic 'Engine Wind

Internal Shocks

γ-rays

External Shocks

Afterglow

Baryonic wind

__....;Goodman 86'; Paczynski 86'; Shemi & Piran 90'; Rees & Meszaros 92,94'; Sari & Piran 96

The Internal-External Fireball Model



Thompson 94, Usov 94, Katz 97, Meszaros & Rees 97; Lyutikov & Blandford 02,04; Lyutikov 04

The early afterglow

Observationally

- **Optical and x-rays: seconds minutes**
 - Radio: hours a day •

Theoretically

A signature of the interaction between the .relativistic wind and the circumburst medium

Why is ?this phase important

?Baryonic flow or Poynting flux •



Theprompt emission should carry asignature of the content as well. However itschaotic nature prevented the identification. so far

?Baryonic flow or Poynting flux •

Reveals the structure and the • properties (e.g. initial Lorentz factor) of the outflow

Distinguish between different • models of the circumburst medium (interstellar medium or a wind of a (massive star

Outline

A baryonic ejecta interacting with an ISM

Sari & Piran 1999; M'esz'aros & Rees 1997,1999; Kulkarni et al. 1999;
Kobayashi 2000; Sari & M'esz'aros 2000; Kobayashi & Sari 2000;
Wang et al. 2000; Fan et al. 2002; Soderberg & Ramirez-Ruiz 2003;
Kumar & Panaitescu 2003; Zhang, Kobayashi & M'esz'aros 2003; Zhang
& Kobayashi 2004; Panaitescu & Kumar 2004; Beloborodov 2004

Nakar & Piran, 2004, MNRAS, 353, 647 Nakar & Piran, 2005, ApJL, 619, 147

Outline

A baryonic ejecta interacting with an ISM

Introduction •



A signature of a Reverse Shock Emission

A diagnostic of the initial properties of the ejecta

Internal shocks signature •

Observations •



The brightness of the optical flash depends $\overline{\Gamma}_{RS} = \overline{\Gamma}_{RS} E, n, \Delta, \Gamma_0$ (strongly on



The light curve of the reverse shock alone ((without the contribution of the forward shock



The optical and the radio light curves at t>t₀ are insensitive to the initial conditions[[Kobayashi & Sari 00 $\frac{F_*}{F_0} \left(\frac{t_*}{t_0}\right)^{1.3+\frac{p-1}{2}} \approx \left(\frac{v_{optical}}{v_{radio}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 800 - 4000$



?How bright is the optical flash

$$F_{0,opt} \approx 0.2 \text{ mJy} = 18(E_{52}^{1.3}n^{1/2}\left(\frac{t_0}{100 s}\right)^{-1}\frac{\overline{\Gamma}_{RS}-1}{0.25}D_{L,28}^{-2}$$

E – isotropic equivalent energy (10^{51} - 10^{54} (erg (n – External density (0.01- 10 cm^{-3} t_0 (– Time of the peak (10-500 sec(Γ_{RS} -1) – 0.05-5D_L- Luminosity distance

Assuming 10% of the internal energy is in the electrons and 1% is in the magnetic field and v_a, v_m<v_{opt}<v_c

?When is the peak of the optical flash

$$\frac{t_0}{T} \approx 1 + \frac{0.2}{\overline{\Gamma}_{RS} - 1}$$

T – the duration of the prompt γ -ray burst

Relativistic reverse shock – no delay
 Newtonian reverse shock – delay of the order of
 the burst duration

if the burst is short the shell might spread significantly* between the internal and the reverse shocks t₀>>T



$\mathbf{t} < \mathbf{t}_0$

An irregular hydrodynamic profile

Contains information of the exact profile •

A signature of the internal shocks •

Internal shocks + reverse shocks (temporal features)

The light curve of (Optical light curve (t<t₀) internal shocks

Hydrodynamic shocks homogenizeΓ and *p*but they do not homogenize*n*

An example



The 1D relativistic hydrodynamic code was given to us generously by **Shiho Kobayashi & Re'em Sari**



Lorentz factor, density and energy profiles at the beginning of the reverse shock



If the promptγ-ray emission results from internal shocks than:

Theprompt optical light curve should be a smoothed version of theγ-rays light curve ((maybe, but not necessarily, delayed

Other mechanism that can produce prompt optical emission

- The same mechanism that produces the γ -rays (Meszaros & Rees 97; Vestrand et al. 05) –Expected to peak, but not necessarily decay with the γ -rays emission (e.g. $if v_{opt} < v_c < v_{\gamma}$)
 - Pairs enriched forward shock (by interaction of theγrays with the circumburst medium; Thompson & Madau 00, (Meszaros et al. 2001, Beloborodov 2002

The forward shock •

None of these is expected to produce an optical decay of t⁻² !!! or a radio flare

Observations



GRB 041219



Vestrand et al. 2005

Blake et al. 2005



Early optical emission (∝t⁻²) :+ radio flare •1 bursts

Early optical emission (∞t⁻²) -:no radio detection
•2 bursts

Early radio flare -: no early optical observations •2 bursts

Early optical emission that do not decay as t⁻² •4 bursts

Tight upper limits (R>17mag) on any early (t<100sec) :optical emission •6 bursts (all are faint; fluence ≤ 10⁻⁶ erg/cm²) Why in some cases there is no bright **?early optical emission**

Highly magnetized jet•

Newtonian reverse shock•

Very dense external density as expected for a wind of a• (massive star (v _c<<v _{opt}

Cooling of the reverse shock by IC of the promptγ-• ray GeV-TeV flash Beloborodov 2005)) Why in some cases the bright early optical **?** emission do not decay as t⁻²

- Not a reverse shock (e.g. internal shocks or forward (shock
 - (Energy injection (refreshed shocks •

Conclusions

Optical flash decay + radio flare



A distinctive Reverse Shock signature Baryonic flow • ISM like external density •

The rising phase of the Optical flash



Reveal the ejecta initial properties Internal shocks signature • Current observations at are not conclusive of the bursts show some 1/3~ signature of reverse shock

of the bursts do not show any 1/3~ bright prompt optical emission (in all these cases theγ-ray emission is faint (as well **!Thank you**